

## STATE OF IOWA

TERRY E. BRANSTAD, GOVERNOR KIM REYNOLDS, LT. GOVERNOR DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CHUCK GIPP, DIRECTOR

3 May 2013

Mike Butikofer PO Box 183 Farmersburg, IA 52047

RE: NOTICE OF VIOLATION

NPDES General Permit No. 2 at 18851 Fawn Hollow Road in Clayton County
Authorization # IA - 21092

Enclosed you will find an inspection report prepared by personnel of this office from a routine inspection of the above referenced storm water NPDES authorization.

Violations of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) General Permit No. 2 were noted. They are listed in the Required Actions of the attached inspection report. You are required to address them immediately. Your project may be eligible for permit development assistance through ISU Ag Engineer, Greg Brenneman, ph. 319-337-7864.

This notice does not preclude the DNR from pursuing additional enforcement action regarding these or any other violations.

If you have any questions, you may contact Rick Martens at the address or phone number listed below.

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION

Clark W. Ott

**Environmental Specialist Senior** 

c: DNR - Storm Water Coordinator - Des Moines

File - STW/Clayton County

e-file - 22 Farmersburg STW 21092 Butikofer 42913 ins rpm

Enclosure



## IOWA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION NPDES GENERAL PERMIT #2 INSPECTION REPORT



| Facility Name: Mike Butikofer Feedlot   | Owner: Mike Butikofer   | Persons Interviewed:        |          |     |                        |  |
|---|---|-----------------------------|----------|-----|------------------------|--|
|   | PO Box 183  | Mike Butikofer              |          |     |                        |  |
| NPDES No.: 21092  | Farmersburg, IA 52047   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
|   | Primary Contact: Mike Butikofer   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| Valid Through: 10/10/2014   | Phone Number: 563-880-0402  |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| Type of Inspection:   | Inspection Date: 29 May 2013  | Inspection Weather: Sun     | ny       |     |                        |  |
| Routine Compliance Inspection   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| Follow-Up Compliance Inspection   | Inspection Time: 1:00 pm  | Recent Rainfall: 🛛 Yes      | No       | 3   |                        |  |
| Complaint Investigation   |   | Comments: Agricultural      |          |     |                        |  |
| ☐ Site Visit  | Previous Inspection: N/A  |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| Site Location:  |   | Is this site part of a comm | on plan  | of  |                        |  |
| Township: 94N   | Total Site Size (acres): 460X65 ft.   | development?  Yes           |          |     |                        |  |
| Section: 24   |   | _                           |          |     |                        |  |
| Range: R5W  | Number of Acres Disturbed: over 1 acre  | If yes, list other site(s): |          |     |                        |  |
| Compliance Statue   |   |                             | С        | NC  | UC                     |  |
| Compliance Status:  | irement is "not in compliance." "UC" = requirement  | is "unknown compliance"     |          | INC | UC                     |  |
|   | G and is retained at the construction site through  |                             | $\vdash$ |     | П                      |  |
|   |   |                             | H        | X   |                        |  |
| 2. The plan has been updated based on changes in design, construction, operation or maintenance or if SWPPP proved to be ineffective?   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 3. Pollution Prevention Plan Content:   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 1. Does SWPPP describe size of site and acres to be disturbed?  |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 2. Does SWPPP clearly describe the intended sequence of major activities and control measures to be utilized  |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| during each activity?   |   |                             |          |     | П                      |  |
| 3. Does SWPPP describe and identify the erosion & sediment control measures to be employed?   |   |                             | ᅵᅢ       |     | H                      |  |
| 4. Does SWPPP contain site map?   |   |                             | ▎∺▏      |     | . H                    |  |
| 5. Does SWPPP contain all necessary signed contractor certification statements?   |   |                             |          |     | H                      |  |
| 4. Recordkeeping:   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 1. Are site inspections being performed as required by permit (every 7 days)?   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 2. Has plan been updated based on changes in design, construction, operation, or maintenance, or if SWPPP   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
|   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
|   | proved to be ineffective?  3. If deficiencies are noted in site inspection, are they corrected and SWPPP updated within 7 days of |                             |          |     | Ħ.                     |  |
| discovery?  |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 5. Visual Observations:   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 1. Are all necessary erosion and sediment control measures have been installed/constructed?   |   |                             |          |     | $\boxtimes$            |  |
| <ol> <li>Are all necessary erosion and sediment control measures have been installed/constructed?</li> <li>Erosion and sediment control measures are being maintained properly?</li> <li>Adequate final topsoil depth?</li> </ol> |   |                             |          |     | ⊠                      |  |
| 3. Adequate final topsoil depth?  |   |                             |          |     | $\overline{\boxtimes}$ |  |
| 4. Has off-site tracking been controlled?   |   |                             | Ħ        |     |                        |  |
| 4. Has off-site tracking been controlled?  5. Storm sewer and/or tile intakes have been protected?  |   |                             |          |     | П                      |  |
| 6. Control measures have prevented the discharge of sediment off the construction site?   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| 6. Control/Stabilization Measures Utilized:   |   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| Earthen Dike  | ☐ Mulch ☐ Sod   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
| Sediment Basin  |   | post Sock                   |          |     |                        |  |
| Silt Fencing  | ☐ Temporary Seeding ☐ None  |                             |          |     | ]                      |  |
| Hay Bales   | Geotextiles Other   |                             |          |     |                        |  |
|   | <u> </u>  |                             |          |     |                        |  |

## **Comments:**

This permit covers the construction of an open lot cattle deep-pit building, with an estimated capacity of 999 head. Owner Mike Butikofer is also the primary contractor. Mr. Butikofer stated that some professional consultation was obtained and he was made aware that the NPDES permit was required prior to construction. He installed controls to prevent runoff but was unaware of the requirement to develop a storm water pollution prevention plan. We jointly walked the perimeter of the development and inspected controls. Footprint of the development is in a crop field. The receiving stream system includes Howard Creek, which enters a US FWS property downstream. Permit requirements were discussed along with animal feeding operation requirements.

Facility Name: Mike Butikofer Feedlot

NPDES #: 21092

Inspection Date: 29 May 2013

Page # 2 of 2

Assistance in developing the required plan is available through Iowa State University Extension Engineer, Greg Brenneman, ph. 319-337-7864.

## **Violation(s) NPDES General Permit #2:**

The facility must immediately develop and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan, install all needed controls and begin record keeping.

General Permit #2 is required to be followed until the entire development that is covered under IA-21092 has reached final stabilization.

The Notice of Discontinuation cannot be submitted until the entire development that is covered under IA-21092 has reached final stabilization.

All other requirements as listed in the NPDES General Permit #2 are also to be followed.

| Inspector Signature: | Mulantens Rick Martens | Date: 3 May 2013 |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Reviewer Signature:  | Clark W. Ott           | Date: 5/3/13     |