## PRE-CERCLIS SCREENING ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST/DECISION FORM

This checklist can assist the site investigator during the Pre-CERCLIS screening. It will be used to determine whether further steps in the site investigation process are required under CERCLA. Use additional sheets, if necessary.

Checklist Preparer:				
Name/Title Matthew Graesch Date 07/31/23				
Address 502 East 9 <sup>th</sup> Street City/State/Zip Des Moines IA 50	02 East 9 <sup>th</sup> Street City/State/Zip Des Moines IA 50319			
E-mail matthew.graesch@dnr.iowa.gov Phone 1-515-725-833	31			
Site Name: Vacant Lot, Beardsley and Sunset Drive				
Previous Names (if any): None				
Site Location:				
Address SE Corner of Beardlsey and Sunset (Hwy 28) City/State/Zip Norwalk, Iowa, 50211				
<b>Latitude:</b> 41.497 <b>Longitude:</b> -93.674				
Compare the following checklist. If "yes" is marked, please explain below.	YES	NO		
Does the site already appear in CERCLIS?				
<ol> <li>Is the release from products that are part of the structure of, and result in exposure within,</li> </ol>				
residential buildings or businesses or community structures?				
3. Does the site consist of a release of a naturally occurring substance in its unaltered form, or altered solely through naturally occurring processes or phenomena, from a location where it is		$\boxtimes$		
naturally found?				
4. Is the release into a public or private drinking water supply due to deterioration of the system through ordinary use?				
5. Is some other program actively involved with the site (i.e., another Federal, State, or Tribal program)?				
5. Are the hazardous substances potentially released at the site regulated under a statutory				
exclusion (i.e., petroleum, natural gas, natural gas liquids, synthetic gas usable for fuel, normal application of fertilizer, release located in a workplace, naturally occurring, or regulated by the NRC, UMTRCA, or OSHA)?				
Are the hazardous substances notentially released at the site excluded by noticy considerations				
(e.g., deferral to RCRA Corrective Action)?				
8. Is there sufficient documentation that clearly demonstrates that there is no potential for a release				
that could cause adverse environmental or human health impacts (e.g., comprehensive remedial investigation equivalent data showing no release above ARARs, completed removal action,				
investigation equivalent data showing no release above ARARs, completed removal action, documentation showing that no hazardous substance release have occurred, EPA approved risk				
assessment completed)?				
Please explain all "yes" answer(s), attach additional sheets if necessary:				
Trease explain all yes answer(s), actaen additional sheets it necessary.				

6/2017 DNR Form 542-0760

Site Determination:	Enter the site into CERCLIS. Further assessment is recommended (Explain below).			
1	igwedge The site is not recommended for placement into CERCLIS (	Explain below).		
I	Further assessment is recommended under PRE-CERCLA (E	Explain below).		
DECISION/DISCUSSION/RATIONALE:				
This site is a vacant lot that was used for agricultual purposes in the past. The neighboring property has been used for bulk oil sotrage and as a filling station. Soil and groundwater investigation was undertaken at this location to assess whether the known contamination from the neaby property had migrated on the subject property. It is anticipated that development of this location into some form of commercial real estate will occur. The owner wished to assess any preexisting environmental liability. Beyond the naturally occurring arsenic (which limits where any fill dirt could be taken), there are no test results above a known standard.				
Regional EPA Reviewer:	-			
	Print Name/Signature	Date		
State Agency/Tribe:	Deight Name / Circumstance	Data		
	Print Name/Signature	Date		

6/2017 DNR Form 542-0760