

SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT

REVIEW FORM

GENERAL INFORMATION

Property owner? Charles J. Galt, Sr. Mailing address Daniel Kaplan 223 Chevrolet Court, Sioux City IA 51103

Location/Legal description 2800 Murray Street, Sioux City

Report prepared by SSFA Inc. Report submitted by Michael M. Presh of Eximate, Inc.
Richard, Frost, Regill, Patterson & Sons

Date report submitted 5/22/04 Areal size of property 1.2 acres Current usage? Commercial

REPORT INFORMATION SUMMARY

Summarize the data submitted (no., type, depth of soil borings, surface samples, ground water samples, other sampling conducted, analysis performed, contamination identified, etc.)

27 soil samples / analyses TPH / PCB

2 ground water samples CHL / CHL 2

Summarize the site history (past usages, known or suspected contamination pathways such as tanks, S.W. burial, septic tank/tilefield, lagoon, land application, etc.)

Evidently ASTs with no visible leaks.

Summarize other relevant information (include what may have been learned or known from sources other than the report itself, such as DNR files)

None

Handwritten signature/initials

VIEW SUMMARY

Summarize your findings and conclusions regarding the contaminants found, their extent and concentrations and those values known criteria such as water quality standards, MCLs, established clean up levels, background or any other relevant or useful benchmarks used to determine the sites priority.

All soil samples exceeded the 100 ppm TPH UST standard, with two over 2,000 ppm. Highest values appear to be associated with Diesel Range Organics while Waste Oil Range Organics appear to be more widespread.

Groundwater samples one is "clean" for BTEX and TPH while the other shows 3 ppb benzene, 27 ppb xylenes and 360 ppb TPH as positive benzene below action level (UST, mol ch. 133), xylene well below action levels

Summarize the potential or actual impacts of the contamination. What is known about the neighboring area, i. e. are there residences, businesses, public use areas, etc? Are there wells in the area that could be potentially impacted? Are there identified contaminant pathways such as water or sewer lines, drain tiles, or fissures? Identify any other use/location issues that deserve consideration in any priority assignment.

No such information is known.

Summarize any other information, knowledge, or reasoning used in determining your recommendation regarding the priority assigned to this site.

With known target receptors or other known exposure and apparently limited groundwater contamination it appears that priority 3 is appropriate. Extent of soil contamination above UST action levels appears to be consistent with this classification. A review indicates a number of USTs in area of municipal well field due south. Wells are Stone City & Sergeant Bluff 200' to 400' depth

Person Completing This Form al m... Date 7/13/24

Person(s) Who reviewed this form _____ Date _____

Priority Level Assigned (circle one) 1 2 **3** 4