

con 12-15 Iowa Malleable Iron C

**CON 12-15
Doc #17127**



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

**REGION VII
726 MINNESOTA AVENUE
KANSAS CITY, KANSAS 66101**

JAN 29 1999

Mr. Lavoy Haage
Supervisor, Solid Waste Section
Iowa Department of Natural Resources
Wallace State Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319

1999 FEB -3 A 8:58
DEPT. OF
NATURAL RESOURCES

RE: Abbreviated Preliminary Assessment and Remedial Site Assessment Decision form for the Estherville Movie Theater Site located in Estherville, Iowa, CERCLIS ID# IAD0001413665.

Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection and Remedial Site Assessment Decision form for the Cedar Township Site located in Cedar, Iowa, CERCLIS ID# IA0000141242.

Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection and Remedial Site Assessment Decision form for the Iowa Malleable Iron Company Site located in Fairfield, Iowa, CERCLIS ID# IAD005286414.

Dear Mr. Haage:

Enclosed are the above referenced documents for each of the three listed sites. The documents are provided for your review and for your records.

Of particular note are the materials from the Cedar Township site located in Cedar, Iowa. As you may recall from our December 9, 1998, meeting in Des Moines, IA., EPA requests that IDNR complete any follow-up actions needed at this site. This may involve additional private water well sampling or encouraging residents not on the rural water line to connect.

All three sites will be coded into CERCLIS with the NFRAP (No Further Remedial Action Planned) designation.

Call me at (913) 551-7818, if you have any questions regarding this material.

Sincerely,

Donald F. Hamera, Site Assessment Manager
Emergency Response and Removal Branch
Superfund Division

Enclosures

cc: Paul Doherty EPA/SUPR/ER&R (letter only)



SITE NAME: Iowa Malleable Iron Company

EPA ID#: IAD005286414

Alias Site Names: None Known

City: Fairfield

County or Parish: Jefferson

State: Iowa

Refer to Report Dated: December 29, 1998

Report type: Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection

Report developed by: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

DECISION:

☒ **1. Further remedial site assessment under CERCLA (Superfund) is not required because:**

☒ **1a.** Site does not qualify for further remedial site assessment under CERCLA

☐ **1b.** Site may qualify for further RCRA action, but is deferred to:

☐ **NRC**
No Further Remedial Action Planned (NFRAP)

☐ **2. Further Assessment Needed Under CERCLA:** 2a. Qualifier: ☐ Higher ☐ Lower ☐ Part of NPL Site
☐ Referred to Removal, Needs More Remedial ☐ Referred to Removal, No Further Remedial

2b. Activity Type: ☐ PA ☐ SI ☐ ESI ☐ HRS evaluation

☐ Other: _____

DISCUSSION/RATIONALE:

Based information contained in the Preliminary Assessment/ Site Inspection completed by the EPA (dated December 29, 1998) this site does not appear to pose a significant threat to human health or the environment. A removal was completed at this site in on 6/26/97 which removed containerized waste and contaminated soil. At this time no significant risk to any of the pathways is suspected. This site will be given an "N" qualifier representing the NFRAP designation. If new information becomes available or site conditions change this site could be reopened.

Report Reviewed

and Approved by: Donald F Hamera

Signature: Donald F Hamera

Date: 1/26/99

Site Decision

Made by: Donald F Hamera

Signature: Donald F Hamera

Date: 1/26/99